

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/719,922 | 11/21/2003 | Arnold McKinney SR. | 553-001 | 3353 |
| 7590 03/24/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| John G. Chupa | | | CHIU, RALEIGH W | |
| Law Offices of John Chupa and Associates, P.C. Suite 50 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 28535 Orchard Lake Rd. Farmington Hills, MI 48334 | | | 3711 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/719,922 | MCKINNEY, ARNOLD | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Raleigh Chiu | 3711 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Res | ponsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊡ This | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| · | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| clos | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition o | f Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Clai | m(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| • | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| / • N | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application P | apers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| II)IIIe | bath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action of form P10-152. | | | | |
| Priority unde | r 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Associate and a | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of D | raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | | |
| | Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal Page 1990. | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/719,922 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, line 13, "third" should be changed to --fifth-- because the left side strike panel (lines 6-7) does not define a "said third profile edge".

Similarly, in claim 1, "fourth" (line 14), "fifth" (line 16), "sixth" (line 18) and "seventh" (line 19) should be changed to --sixth--, --seventh--, --eighth--, and --third--, respectively.

Similarly, in claim 3, "third" (line 15), "fourth" (line 17), "fifth" (line 21), "sixth" (line 23) and "seventh" (line 24) should be changed to --fifth--, --sixth--, --seventh--, --eighth-- and --third--, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §§ 102 and 103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 5,778,959 (Guetschow).

Figure 1 of Guetschow shows five pivotably-attached panels

1 that correspond to the recited ricochet, strike and center
panels.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 5,054,507 (Sparks).

Figures 2 and 4 of Sparks show a plurality of coupled panels 11,12 that correspond to the recited panels. Screen 17 corresponds to the recited net portion and is considered movable

Art Unit: 3711

when the panels are moved with respect to each other. Further, the flexibility of screen 17 (see Figure 4) is considered to allow the panels to have at least two hundred seventy degrees of motion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide wheels with the Sparks panels to allow a user to more easily transport the device from one location to another.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (571) 272-4415.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/719,922

Art Unit: 3711

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

Page 5

RWC:dei:feif 17 March 2005